

**Alan Watt**  
**"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#248)**

**Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 3, 2009:**

**Plan is Said by Sir Charles Inbred:**

**"Sir Charles Galton Darwin Talking Down from Steeple,  
Declared the World Filled with All the Wrong People,  
Who Left on Their Own Would Breed of Course,  
Consuming What's Rightfully the Elite's Resource,  
First, Pacify Man, (Chills to the Bones),  
By Introducing to System Synthetic Hormones,  
Then Guide the Dumb Public Toward Conservation,  
Gradually Introducing Concept of Depopulation,  
People Guided by Societies Who'd Set the Pace,  
Planned by the Rulers, the True Master Race,  
Raise Nature as God, as Century Turns Page,  
Sterilizing the Public for Their New Golden Age"**

**© Alan Watt Feb. 3, 2009**

**Tuesday February 3rd 2009**

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 3, 2009 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

**[www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com)**

**[www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)**

**"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen**

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak  
There's a wall between us and a river so deep  
And we keep pretending that there's nothing wrong  
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February the 3rd 2009.

For newcomers, look into [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and on the website, you'll find lots of talks I've given in the past, where I give you much of the history, at least as much as is known, basically, of the big movement that started off the Enlightenment, turned into various secret societies and then had scientific societies at the top of them, which are guiding the world, along a predicted course into the ultimate Age of Reason, as they call it, where logic, the logic of those at the top, will be used to decide our fates and our destinies. They've already done it, basically; and, they have their plans all in motion right now.

Also look into [www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu) for transcripts of these talks, which you can download and print up and they're written in the various languages of Europe.

For those who listen to me, I appreciate your donations and I hope you keep them coming. I don't have people coming down on a regular basis with them, so I have to keep reminding people that's what keeps me going; and, it does cost money to keep this thing going, even with what I do. I don't get money, or ask for money, from any shows I'm on, all advertising you hear goes to pay for the shows, staff, equipment and all the rest of it. That's the system in which we live, at the moment; but, things are changing very-very quickly, because we're on a roll. This century, in fact, was earmarked for the century of change, a hundred years war; and, the world was told, we were told from the top, 2001 onwards there was going to be a hundred years war and people thought it meant physical fighting and that was the end of it really, to do with the Middle East and maybe a few other countries. That's only part of it, most of the war is a war on what they call ignorance.

If you go down through the centuries, and follow the so-called Secret Societies that broke out, beginning with even the Rosicrucians, in England and Germany, France, you'll find they've always had a manifesto and the first sin, as they say, is the sin of ignorance, in fact ignorance is the sin and that's why they called the public *the profane*.

We have to remember that out of the Rosicrucians, they created Philosophical Societies, as called them, or termed them at first, these were really scientific circles, made up of gentry and aristocracy, men of leisure; and that's where the Royal Society of London came out of. The Royal Society, today, is one of the leaders, if not the leader, in the direction of where we're going, with all scientific enquiry, and why we're going in these particular directions. Every famous player pretty-well in history, in the last hundred odd years, two-hundred years, has been a member of the Royal Society, and they do admit, in their own writings, that they came out as part of the Rosicrucian order and that's out in the open.

We keep wondering what on earth were the Rosicrucians and they've got a long history, of course, and it's all partial myth etc but we do know that it broke out openly at Queen Elizabeth 1st court in England. The advisers, the top advisers were all Rosicrucians and they'd no problem at all acquiring lots of money, by plundering other vessels on the seas, especially those from Spain; and, they were well-rewarded for doing so. They had their lodge meetings, and there's lots of history on that as well; Francis Bacon and many others were members of it; and, what's that got to do with today? Well, I'm going to go in to that and tell you; I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this Cutting Through The Matrix. As I said before the break, you can find out how to donate, or buy that which I sell, on [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and it tells you how to pay as well, the methods available; and, remember, within the US and Canada, a personal cheque is also accepted.

Getting back into this hundred years war, that we're now into, the war against ignorance, according to those at the top; and, those at the top, have been writing about this phase we're in now, for over 200-300 years. When you go back into the writings of the famous players in history, you find that those who went into (even before Darwin), they were into evolution - they didn't called it evolution, they called it different names at the time; but they were all into the necessity, and it was a necessity, coming out again of Rosicrucian societies, to bypass the old

dogmas of the Catholic Church, primarily. They even fostered the Protestant Church, there's no doubt whatsoever about that, they used that for a long time and then brought that down too; it fell by itself, in a sense. If you go into the writings of Luther himself, that place where Rosicrucianism was really in the leading edge at that time, in Germany, and you look at his family crest, which was the symbol of the Rosy Cross, that's no coincidence.

Religion's always been used for certain periods, by those who know how to use it, can control the whole world, if need be. In fact, it's controlling it right now, under the supposed New Age greening projects and sustainability; that's a new religion. Getting back to the past: the famous players, as I say, all were into evolution, as the theory, and they were looking into all kinds of fossils and stuff, to try and prove that there was no one biblical flood, that there were different floods at different periods, in fact, at one time, they wondered why, they thought the Earth had maybe sunk beneath the sea and then risen again and that theory still isn't discounted yet.

They've always tried to find key or links basically between different species that disappear and reappear, such as sea urchins and so on; and, they've written screeds and screeds of books about that. In the 1800s, many of the famous scientists of their day, wrote about finding this ether, or whatever, that was the missing link between species, and it's a lot of nonsense, but they made their money at the time and they let them quietly disappear from the history books, rather than discredit them, because they need these theories to keep going you see. Because the theories all fit in line with where we're all supposed to go; and, where is it we're all supposed to go? When you look again at the big players, that promoted evolution and the religion basically, again it came out of the Enlightenment period, hidden behind mystery religions, but it's still based on reason, above all the myth and magic at the bottom, it was to do with superior man and inferior man. You find that even in ancient religions, since most of the morality talks that they give you and parables are about inferior and superior types, something that has been on-going for ever.

Therefore, the Rosicrucians had massive cover, at the bottom, just like the Masons of today do; they are the cover. Pike, in fact, called Masonry the outer portico member of the lower degrees, they do believe in what they're told, they've no reason to suspect their order, but those above them are on a different course altogether. You have to go into who charters them, who gives you the charter for a lodge, to find the source of it all; and you'll always generally find royalty at the top of it, they give out the charters. The Duke of Kent is generally the traditional, whoever he happens to be at the time, but he's the traditional Grandmaster for the Grand Lodge of England.

Now, the Royal Society, as I say, is also a chartered organisation. It started off as a branch of what people thought was mysticism, from Rosicrucian Orders, they met in secret; they did swear an oath to each other. They also brought in people like Francis Bacon and Isaac Newton became members; and, you could not become a member, if you were married and you wanted to stay with your wife and family. You had to provide for them and leave them, at any cost, and devote yourself to the Order of the Royal Society (I don't think it was until the twentieth-century they ever allowed a woman in, with a woman scientist); and, that's how they ran it, just like a priestly monk sect.

They talked about reason and the Age of Reason, go into the past and look up the Age of Reason, it came out of the Enlightenment, and see what was behind it; because, at that time too, they were collecting very old books, having them reprinted, from the pre-Christian philosophers, of Greece mainly, and they were debating a bigger world than had been allowed to them to debate by the Catholic Church. That's why they met in secret, because it was not too wise to speak openly, in those days of sciences that contradicted or were in opposition or conflicted with the Bible.

They always had, at their core, as I say, science at the top; and you'll find traces through their books of breeding, eugenics, that we call it now, eugenics. There's no doubt about it too, that certain societies did tend to pick the mates, the male and the female, to marry together to produce the right kind of offspring. You find this at Dashwood's Society, in Wycombe, England, Benjamin Franklin was a member of it. They even had what they thought was, what people called, a whorehouse, next to it, that was traditional too, in those days, because they did believe that a man had to generate himself, generate himself, a power of generation, through sexual release. However, it was more than that too, because the ones certainly at High Wycombe had not just prostitutes, these were women of good breeding, they were carriers of genes; and there have been articles in the newspaper, over the years of newspapers, when they excavated that particular house or mansion and they found underneath it the skeletons of babies. I guess they were the unauthorised offspring, because they did want offspring and they would be adopted into a family, maybe not the father or the mother, but a family of the lodge; and they hoped to bring out certain traits, like Plato talked about in the Republic, by breeding certain traits together (especially scientific abilities or mathematical abilities) they had the hope to bring out a child with superior qualities and that was the whole thing behind it.

That still goes on today, royalty were trying the same thing for thousands of years but their qualities were generally un-channelled, they were kind of wild and all over the place; they were impatient, that was their fault.

They thought if they could breed scientific people together, with the same traits, they could, in fact, come out with a superior type to guide the world along this particular path of reason and logic, where logic and those who decided that they had logic, and reason, would rule the world, as it should be done. They were against the breeding of the lower types, the base types, the profane, as they called it. They like to hide that in modern times, but these old books certainly had a lot of that stuff in them; but out of these lodges and so on, in this Age of Reason, you find the big players too, that we're so familiar with; and I've mentioned the Galtons, the Darwins and so on, the Huxleys and gone into some of their histories from their own writings for you.

In this day and age, with all of the articles I've been reading lately, to do with population control; and, you do know this is the official month, they might extend it for two or three months, in all media, to get it through to our heads that we've got to cull down ourselves, bring the population down, for sustainability of course. Now this is connected, this is all connected, this movement that started hundreds of year ago, it's still connected with what's happening today; and with those at the top. Remember, the Council on Foreign Relations is a branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, private organisations, massive funding to give out to NGOs, they run the world, they bypass democracy, they set the trends, they set

the topics, they set the curriculum in universities and schools, they set the agenda. They were secretive societies too.

It's interesting that Brzezinski, recently, on a video, was asked the question about the Trilateral Commission, a branch of the CFR, and the CFR, and he blithely said well you can read all about them, it's in the open; but just before he prefaced it with what you understand is there's always an overt side to things and a covert side to things; and he was telling the truth because every revolutionary movement that has an outwards set, a political agenda, spoken to the public, always has its active inner group, that will do the dastardly deeds, if need be, whatever it happens to be. The covert group; so he was telling the truth about that.

Before I carry on, I'll mention again, an article I have read before, to let you know how these articles are all being churned out at the right time, until the public, down below, will prattle on about it, in their conversations because they will believe what they're told, because they don't reason, as Brzezinski said. I'll be back with more, after the following break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix. Here's an article from the Daily Mail I've read before, on the 5th of January 2009. It says here:

***Britain must set a maximum population level if it is to avoid destroying the environment***

See, they're putting environment at the top now, we've had years of propaganda, so it's a holy thing, they use that as the excuse and then they add this part, since we're into this terrorism everywhere joke

*and putting national security at risk,*

National security and the environment, so they create the trends, and then they use this as the excuse to curb population growth. Even though the same foundations that are pushing all of this. This one's from the Optimum Population Trust, it's a world-wide organisation, it started in England, and look into who funds them and I'll tell you, you'll get lost in the foundations and their machinations, as they travel through from one front to the next front to the next front, to get the funding there, this private organisation.

Do you vote in the Optimum Population Trust? No, you don't, so how come they have ears to top politicians? They have the ears of them, but you don't, how come? Democracy? What a joke, we never had democracy and then they go on to give you the fake numbers and projections that Malthus would have done, with his graphs and his charts and that's what he used back then; and they've never been right since. The whole thing is to scare the public and they show you a picture probably of somewhere in London in rush hour, just to get the point across, you know: the picture, the headline and that's what most folks see, it sticks in their mind, then they'll prattle on about it at their parties and so on, to show that they're up to date with the news, but they've never reasoned anything through.

Where does all this come from? This is the century, remember, of change; people think, because of the slogan that was coined by the marketing experts, *change is good*. They never stop and say what kind of change, obviously, wouldn't you rather ask them what they mean and what their goals are, before you say it's good? It

might not be good for you at all; but slogans don't work that way, you're meant to parrot them; and people do, they parrot slogans, it works very well. I've gone through Bernays and all of that crew, I've gone through the culture creators that were interfaced with the CIA totally, and the same with MI5 in Britain, and all the other security agencies. They gave us the culture of definitely the latter part, the last half century, of the twentieth-century, right up to today and they're running it still.

Let's go into one of the main characters, a member of the Royal Society and every other great society and, no doubt, took many-many oaths, to lead the world, through argument, along this particular route, that's how they do it and this is by the grandson of Charles Darwin, it's called *The Next Million Years* by Charles Galton Darwin.

He spends a lot of the book, again, comparing man with animals and saying: 'my god, they'll outbreed' and they won't have the food and yadi yadi yah and all this kind of stuff, but his big thrust is the wrong kinds that will breed. He makes no bones about that, he says it openly. It's the wrong kind who'll breed you know. He actually mentions the wrong kind, he actually couches it too, he could have gone a lot further, he says here, in page 93, he says:

*A man is promoted on account of his individual merits, without any thought about the consequences for the distant future. In a less abnormal world than the present, his increased prosperity should lead to the man's having a larger family than those of the less prosperous, so that the good qualities inherited from him*

The one with the most money.

*should gradually become diffused throughout the population in later generations. At the present time the exact opposite happens all too often, in that he is likely to have a smaller family than the average; in fact success in life is at present antagonistic to success in survival.*

He goes on to talk about parasites and so on and how even parasites have a function. He says:

*It is always necessary to remember that nature itself is quite non-moral, and that there are many qualities which we by no means admire, which nevertheless are often regrettably effective in the struggle for life. All through the animal kingdom one of the most successful roles is that of the parasite, and there are states of human society where such a parasite as the professional beggar is as successful as anyone else. Something of the kind is unfortunately true in Britain just now. The people we are really encouraging are not those that we think we are, for a great many of the people who get good promotion are contributing less than their share to the next generation. At present the most efficient way for a man to survive in Britain is to be almost half-witted,*

See, that's what he thinks of the public, the profane you see.

*completely irresponsible and spending a lot of time in prison, where his health is far better looked after than outside; on coming out with restored health he is ready to beget many further children quite promiscuously, and these "problem children" are then beautifully cared for by the various*

*charitable societies and agencies, until such time as they have grown old enough to carry on the good work for themselves. It is this parasitic type that is at present most favoured in our country; if nothing is done, a point will come where the parasite will kill its host by exhaustion and then of course itself perish miserably and contemptibly through having no one to support it.*

*Now though there may be occasions in human history when something of this kind can happen, there is no fear that it should happen to mankind as a whole; for a parasite is essentially subordinate to some host, and man claims, and claims reasonably, to be master of the world, so that there is nothing for him to be subordinate to. In the long run there is no danger of mankind adopting the role of the parasite.*

He says; this character who didn't do much work in his lifetime. Back with more - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading from Charles Galton Darwin's *The Next Million Years*, printed in 1952. I think he himself was a physicist and he was into eugenics in a big-big scale, I wouldn't say just as a sideline, I think that was really his favourite topic. He talks about specialising the breeds of humanity, specialising by breeding; and he would suggest at one point that, tongue-in-cheek of course, if they could only make the women of Britain, and maybe the world, accept the seed, the sperm of the fit, the aristocratic class, like himself, they could breed out all the bad traits of humanity and bring in the good society. Then, on page 130, after talking about the different benefits of inbreeding, as opposed to the demerits of inbreeding as well, he goes on to say this:

*There may be those who will regret that man will not attain these pinnacles of specialization,*

He means through breeding.

*but the failure is inevitable. In order to create such specialist breeds there would have to be a master breed at the summit,*

Summit you see, you know, the great pyramid.

*and this would be a totally different kind of thing from all the other breeds, because it would have to create itself.*

How would it create itself? Through genetics, perhaps? He goes on to say:

*At every turn the argument leads back to this question of the master breed.*

Now, remember what Plato talked about, in *The Republic*, the master breed and so on, the guardian class and how they would breed specific humans for special tasks and so on, just like domestic animals. He talks about this too. He says:

*Nothing can be done in the way of changing man from a wild into a tame animal without first creating such a breed, but most people are entirely inconsistent in their ideas of what they want created. On the one hand they feel that all the world's problems would be solved if only there were a wise and good man who would tell everybody what to do, but on the other hand*

*they bitterly resent being themselves told what to do. As to which of these motives would prevail, it seems at least probable that it would be the resentment, so that if the breed should arise in any manner, it would be extirpated before it could ever become well established. It is, however, imaginable, that there might be a part of the world in which the breed was accepted,*

Now, this is straight out really of the New Atlantis by Bacon, who gives this allegorical concept of a place, that eventually became America of course, where they'd have a secret society running the world, although they'd have a form of government on the outside. He says:

*and that this part should gain a superiority over the rest of the world, because it could develop various suitable breeds of specialists under the control and direction of the master breed,*

That's Plato's Republic and The New Atlantis, right there.

*and by the exercise of the skills of these specialists it might overcome the other nations. So it is appropriate to look a little further into the matter. Imagine that through new discoveries in biology; say by suitably controlled doses of X-rays,*

Which he, by the way was experimenting with, on different tissues.

*it becomes possible to modify the genes in any desired direction, so that heritable changes can be produced in the qualities of some members of the human race. I may say I do not believe this is ever likely to be practicable,*

Because it's only not predictable, that method, you see.

*but that does not matter as far as concerns the present argument. The first success might be in some physical attribute, for example, by making a breed with longer and stronger legs so that it could jump a good deal higher than anyone can at present. But passing to more important matters, there might be created a breed which could think more abstractly, say a breed of mathematicians, or one that could think more judiciously, say a breed of higher civil servants.*

That's who they will bring in first, by the way the bureaucrats and the scientists, if they haven't done it already.

*These would be of great value, but they would not be the master breed, and the question arises of a more precise prescription for what the qualities of the master breed are to be. It is usually best to build on what one already has, rather than to start from nothing. So the natural procedure would be to begin with existing rulers,*

Existing rulers: and I've told you they have an international club, of all those that have got to the top, in every country, the long dynasties, that have held on to power, and their wealth, as a criteria to get into this club.

*since these have already established themselves as acceptable to at least a good many of their fellow creatures. One would collect together, say, a hundred of the most important present rulers, among them of course should be included a good many who exert secret influence without holding any*



## *overt office*

You know where he got that part from? That's the same thing as Carroll Quigley was talking about, in *Tragedy & Hope* and *The Anglo-American Establishment*, when he says those who are on the exoteric and the political scene will not have the true power; see, it's the Technocrats like the Maurice Strong's and the characters, the Brzezinskis, the Kissingers, the whole host of them that have the real power, that's what he means. It was already on the go you see, when this book was written in the 1950s.

*among them of course should be included a good many who exert secret influence without holding any overt office*

That way they're not really, they don't have to answer to the public

*and tell them to get on with the business of settling what the master breed should be. It is impossible to believe that any such body of men would ever reach agreement on any subject whatever; so this plan fails. In the search for the qualities of the master breed the next idea might be to appeal to the wisdom of our forefathers. Plato in his Republic devotes much attention to this very subject. Why not then find a Plato, give him his group of recruits, and let him educate them for thirty years according to his prescription? though perhaps fortifying it by the findings of modern educational theory; the result should be the master breed. But this will not do either, for Plato was not educating the master breed, he was educating the civil servant breed.*

*It is not about these that there is any difficulty; it is the finding of someone to fill the role of Plato himself. It all comes back to the point that we do not know in the remotest degree what we want; for I do not count as an answer the one that would usually be proposed, which would be that the type required should be good and wise, while at the same time showing a special favour for the particular enthusiasms of the proposer. The reason for the impossibility of making a prescription for the master breed is that it is not a breed at all; to call it so is to change the sense of the word. Breeds are specialized for particular purposes, but the essence of masters is that they must not be specialized.*

You see, they must be wild, that's what he means, now remember, he and others, have written in their books that those who'd rule the world will not alter themselves, with brain chips or anything else, they're not hampered either as they grow up, through the educational system we all have. They're impervious to most of the laws, because they're wild. He said they must retain their abilities of survival, their capabilities of survival, because they will be steering planet Earth, that's what he means by that.

*They have to be able to deal with totally unforeseen conditions, and this is a quality of wild, not of tame, life. No prescription for the master breed is possible. In these considerations I have been assuming the licence of supposing that we might be able really to change human nature in a heritable manner, and this is far beyond all probability. Returning now to more practical considerations, there seems no likelihood whatever of a master breed arising.*

*All through history the most formidable difficulty of every ruler has been the selection of his successor, and the best intentions have been nearly always disappointed. Indeed it is notably surprising how very seldom the choice has been well made. The immediate cause of these failures has been the difficulty of the subjective judgments on the basis of which the choice must be made, but fundamentally they have arisen from a cause in the deep nature of mankind. Of all animals man*

Again, you're an animal you see.

*is the most ready to try experiments and there are always candidates, far too many candidates, who regard themselves as fit members for the master breed.*

This is a message for all those who think they're going to get up there.

*This quality is a characteristic of a wild animal, and it will always prevent man from domesticating himself. He will always prevent the creation of the master breed, through which alone the rest of man could be domesticated. The evolution of the human race will not be accomplished in the ten thousand years of tame animals, but in the million years of wild animals, because man is and will always continue to be a wild animal.*

He promotes that those at the top should be not subject to the laws of the land or the world's, they should be wild and if you look at a lot of the aristocrats and the lifestyles they have and the things that they do, they get off with everything, because they're naturally wild. They're the ones who aren't bothered with human decisions too much, they make quick-snap decisions, they're wild, that's the type he's favouring here. He goes into it deeper and deeper, in his book.

It's interesting too that you'll find Plato mentioned over and over in all of the Rosicrucian publications, you'll find them talked about by members of the CFR, The Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Fabian Society, Wells and many others, always talked about Plato and his Republic being their favourite book. They're giving you a clue, because Plato advised this particular specialised breeding, where those at the top will retain their wildness, their survival capabilities; and those down below will be a domestic herd that are either brought up to strength for wars or culled down in times of peace and they'd be bred for the particular function in life. That's exactly where the whole area of eugenics and genetics is being guided today into that very-very thing.

Now, here's an article that ties in with this again, because they're using global warming for the world scam, to bring the world together. Remember the Club of Rome, in their book called The First Global Revolution, they said they'd come up with the scam idea, after looking at everything that would unite man, they'd blame man for destroying his environment and the atmosphere itself. Look where it's got us today. We've a depression going on and what's the odds of all this happening at once, you've got a depression, a financial depression going on - and that was rigged, of course, to be at the right time - and we're going totally global, they're regionalising the whole world together, unemployment's sky rocketing, your five Agri food businesses are tightening the reins on food, they will bring shortages, that's part of the strategy, to get us all to our knees and at the same time, they're going to push all these carbon taxes etc, which are further going to hurt us all. We're going to be dominated you see, by mother earth and they planned that a

long time ago. This is from Kusi News, San Diego:

## ***The Amazing Story Behind the Global Warming Scam***

*by John Coleman*

*The key players are now all in place in Washington and in state governments across America to officially label carbon dioxide as a pollutant*

That which we breathe out is a pollutant.

*and enact laws that tax we citizens for our carbon footprints. Only two details stand in the way, the faltering economic times and a dramatic turn toward a colder climate.*

The climate isn't cooperating, no matter how much they spray it and use HAARP.

*The last two bitter winters have led to a rise in public awareness that there is no runaway global warming. The public is now becoming skeptical of the claim that our carbon footprints from the use of fossil fuels is going to lead to climatic calamities. How did we ever get to this point where bad science is driving big government to punish the citizens for living the good life that fossil fuels provide for us?*

Remember too, this all ties in with the need for depopulation. Don't ever forget that when you read any of these stories.

*The story begins with an Oceanographer named Roger Revelle. He served with the Navy in World War II. After the war he became the Director of the Scripps Oceanographic Institute in La Jolla in San Diego, California. Revelle saw the opportunity to obtain major funding from the Navy for doing measurements and research on the ocean around the Pacific Atolls where the US military was conducting atomic bomb tests. He greatly expanded the Institute's areas of interest and among others hired Hans Suess, a noted Chemist from the University of Chicago, who was very interested in the traces of carbon in the environment from the burning of fossil fuels. Revelle tagged on to Suess studies and co-authored a paper with him in 1957. The paper raises the possibility that the carbon dioxide might be creating a greenhouse effect and causing atmospheric warming. It seems to be a plea for funding for more studies.*

Of course it's a plea, they get all their funding from these studies, so they drag them on as long as they can.

*Funding, frankly, is where Revelle's mind was most of the time. Next Revelle hired a Geochemist named David Keeling to devise a way to measure the atmospheric content of Carbon dioxide. In 1960 Keeling published his first paper showing the increase in carbon dioxide in the atmosphere and linking the increase to the burning of fossil fuels.*

It's kind of like deciding what you're going out and look for and finding it, isn't it?

*These two research papers became the bedrock of the science of global warming, even though they offered no proof that carbon dioxide was in fact a greenhouse gas. In addition they failed to explain how this trace gas, only*

*a tiny fraction of the atmosphere, could have any significant impact on temperatures.*

*Now let me take you back to the 1950s when this was going on. Our cities were entrapped in a pall of pollution from the crude internal combustion engines that powered cars and trucks back then and from the uncontrolled emissions from power plants and factories. Cars and factories and power plants were filling the air with all sorts of pollutants. There was a valid and serious concern about the health consequences of this pollution and a strong environmental movement was developing to demand action.*

Of course it was, they were all getting funded by the big foundations, who started them up, and told them what their job was.

*Government accepted this challenge and new environmental standards were set. Scientists and engineers came to the rescue. New reformulated fuels were developed for cars, as were new high tech, computer controlled engines and catalytic converters. By the mid seventies cars were no longer big time polluters, emitting only some carbon dioxide and water vapor from their tail pipes. Likewise, new fuel processing and smoke stack scrubbers were added to industrial and power plants and their emissions were greatly reduced, as well.*

I'll add to that, that they also moved most of the factories out of the country.

*But an environmental movement had been established and its funding and very existence depended on having a continuing crisis issue. So the research papers from Scripps came at just the right moment. And, with them came the birth of an issue; man-made global warming from the carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels. Revelle and Keeling used this new alarmism to keep their funding growing. Other researchers with environmental motivations and a hunger for funding saw this developing and climbed aboard as well. The research grants began to flow and alarming hypothesis began to show up everywhere.*

I'm going to skip some of it here because then he goes into some of the main players here. He says:

*Back in the 1960s, this global warming research came to the attention of a Canadian born United Nation's bureaucrat named Maurice Strong.*

Well, he was picked up by Rockefeller, that's the man who groomed him for his position and Rockefeller Foundation runs the United Nations. I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article about the setting-up of this great global warming scam and he's talking about Maurice Strong, the front man for Mr. Rockefeller who picked him when he was very young, about 15 I believe, and groomed him for his position at the United Nations. It says here, Maurice Strong:

*was looking for issues he could use to fulfil his dream of one-world government.*

Well, it wasn't just his dream; it was his master's too.

*Strong organized a World Earth Day event in Stockholm, Sweden in 1970. From this he developed a committee of scientists, environmentalists and political operatives from the UN to continue a series of meetings. Strong developed the concept that the UN could demand payments from the advanced nations for the climatic damage from their burning of fossil fuels to benefit the underdeveloped nations,*

That's nonsense, it ain't gonna help them.

*a sort of CO2 tax that would be the funding for his one-world government. But, he needed more scientific evidence to support his primary thesis. So Strong championed the establishment of the United Nation's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*

Now, as I say, he's just a leg boy for the Rockefeller Foundations, remember that at all times.

*This was not a pure climate study scientific organization, as we have been led to believe. It was an organization of one-world government UN bureaucrats, environmental activists and environmentalist scientists who craved the UN funding so they could produce the science they needed to stop the burning of fossil fuels. Over the last 25 years they have been very effective.*

And he goes on and on and on, always dreaming up ideas of Armageddon etc, but this article goes into Al Gore and all the rest of them, who took over the championing of this global warming scam, because it's a way remember, not only to bring the world together, something that's at war with the world and it happens to be man that's at war with it. So, they're going to save us all, through saving us from global warming, but we've got to reduce the population as well, you see.

This article also goes into to explain Al Gore and how he said, years ago, even though the evidence was turning against him in global warming, there would be no argument on this, it was a mandate. I've said that before, it doesn't matter what you say or what the weather proves, who are you going to believe, Al Gore or your lying eyes? Simple as that.

Also, Roger Revelle, by the way, who dreamed up this thing in the first place, and other ones, once he'd retired, came out to government and said the whole thing was based on fake science (it's in the article as well) and they were all told to 'leave the room', because the agenda is the agenda; but he and other ones came out and said to wait another 20-30 years before they make this a mandate, because the proof was not in. He says:

*The global warming frenzy was becoming the Cause célèbre of the media. After all the media is mostly liberal, loves Al Gore,*

Well, it's all run and owned by the same guys that own the foundations, isn't it?

*loves Al Gore, loves to warn us of impending disasters and tell us "the sky is falling, the sky is falling". The politicians and the environmentalist loved it, too.*

I'll put this up, I'll put a link up on my site, at the end of the show and you can go

through it at your leisure, because it really does give all the statements Al Gore gave out regarding the fact there will be no argument about this at all.

Also look into the history of Al Gore and see who groomed him, it's really intriguing, really-really intriguing, because Armand Hammer took over the grooming of Al Gore. You're talking about secret societies, these are the guys; Armand Hammer had an apartment next to Lenin and then Stalin, and still ran an empire back in the US during the entire Cold War; quite something.

Well, that's a rushed bunch of info for tonight; from myself and Hamish, in Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God, or your gods, go with you.

-----  
Transcribed by Bill Scott.

-----  
**Book: 'The Next Million Years,' by Charles Galton Darwin"**

**Articles:**

**"Britain 'must set population limit to safeguard national security' say experts"**  
**(dailymail.co.uk) - Jan. 5, 2009.)**

**"The Amazing Story Behind the Global Warming Scam"** by John Coleman  
**(kusi.com).)**